





# Status Report on Consensus Frameworks in the APEC Region

Prepared for the
2019 APEC Business Ethics for SMEs Forum
9-10 September | Santiago de Chile

#### **Table of Contents:**

Economy	Pages
Australia	2-6
Canada	7
China	8-9
Japan	10
Mexico	11-12
Peru	13-15
The Philippines	16-17
Viet Nam	18







Consensus Francework Name	Australian Ethical Health Alliance (AEHA), formerly referred to as the Australian Consensus Framework for Ethical Collaboration in the Healthcare Sector	í
	2017 (at APEC Business Ethics for SMEs Forum)	
Date Launched (Month/Year)	July 2018	
Current Number of Parties	71	
(Check all that Apply)	X Medical Device Industry X Third Parties / Distributors X Patient Organizations X Healthcare Centers X Additional Stakeholders Not Listed	
Complete List of Parties (Full Names of Each Organization)	Arthroplasty Society of Australia Arthroplasty Society of Australia Assistive Technology Suppliers Australia Audiology Australia Australasian College of Cosmetic Surgery Australasian College of Dermatologists Australasian College of Health Service Management Australasian College of Phlebology Australasian College of Sport and Exercise Physicians Australasian Leukemia and Lymphoma Group Australasian sleep Association Australian and New Zealand Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons Australian and New Zealand College of Anaesthetists Australian and New Zealand College of Paramedicine Australian and New Zealand Society of Paramedicine Australian and New Zealand Society for Geriatric Medicine Australian and New Zealand Society for Geriatric Medicine Australian and New Zealand Society for Geriatric Medicine Australian and New Zealand Society of Cardiac and Thoracic Surgeons Australian and New Zealand Urogenital and Prostate Cancer Trials Group Ltd Australian College of Emergency Medicine Australian College of Nursing Australian Dental Association Australian Healthcare and Hospitals Association Australian Nene Society Australian Orthopaedic Association Australian Orthopaedic Association Australian Orthopaedic Foot and Ankle Society Australian Orthopaedic Trauma Society Australian Pharmacy Council Australian Pharmacy Council Australian Pharmacy Council Australian Society of Anaesthetists Australian Society of Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery	







	Consumer Healthcare Products Consumers Health Forum of Australia Cosmetic Physicians College of Australasia Day Hospitals Australia Gastroenterlogical Society of Australia General Surgeons Australia Medical Technology Association of Australia Medicines Australia Musculoskeletal Australia National Prescribing Service (NPS) Medicinewise National Rural Health Alliance Neurological Society of Australia Praxis Australia Private Healthcare Australia Royal Australasian College of Dental Surgeons Royal Australaian College of Physicians Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Ophthalmologists Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Psychiatrists Royal Australian College of General Practitioners Royal Australian College of General Practitioners Royal College of Pathologists of Australasia Shoulder and Elbow Society of Australia Skin Cancer College Society of Hospital Pharmacists of Australia The Thoracic Society of Australia Urological Society of Australia & New Zealand Universities Australia Urological Society of Australia & New Zealand Universities Australia Urological Society of Australia & New Zealand Universities Australia Urological Society of Australia & New Zealand
Have Framework meetings occurred since launch?  List Parties who attended the last two Framework meetings	January meeting (35 parties): Aspex Consulting Audiology Australia Australasian College of Phlebology Australasian College of Sport and Exercise Physicians Australia and New Zealand Melanoma Trials Group Australia and New Zealand Vascular Society Australian and New Zealand Urogenital and Prostate Cancer Trials Group Australian Healthcare and Hospitals Association Australian Knee Society Australian Medical Council Australian Medical Manufacturers and Distributors Association Australian Orthopaedic Association Australian Self Medication Industry Australian Society of Plastic Surgeons Australian Traditional Medicine Society Bupa Calvary Health Care Consumer Health Forum Cosmetic Physicians College of Australia





SANTIAGO DE CHILE | 9-10 SEPTEMBER



Department of Health

Gastroenterlogical Society of Australia

Medical Technology Association of Australia

Medicines Australia

**NSW Ministry of Health** 

Pharmacy Council of Australia

Praxis Australia

Private Healthcare Australia

Royal Australasian College of Surgeons

Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Ophthalmologists

Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Psychiatrists

Royal Australian College of General Practitioners

Society of Hospital Pharmacists of Australia

Therapeutic Goods Administration

Universities Australia

Urological Society of Australia & New Zealand

#### April meeting (20 parties):

Australian Orthopaedic Association

Department of Health

Australian Healthcare and Hospitals Association

Australasian Sleep Association

Assistive Technology Suppliers Australia

Medicines Australia

Cosmetic Physicians College of Australasia

**Australian Society of Plastic Surgeons** 

Skin Cancer College Australasia

Musculoskeletal Australia

Avant

Australasian College of Sport and Exercise Physicians

General Surgeons Australia

Private Healthcare Australia

Australian Orthopaedic Association

Consumers Health Forum

MIGA

Australian College of Rural and Remote Medicine

**ACT Health Directorate** 

Audiology Australia

#### July meeting (15 parties):

Australasian College of Cosmetic Surgery

Australian College of Nursing

Australian Healthcare and Hospitals Association

Australian Orthopaedic Association

**Australian Pharmacy Council** 

**Australian Society of Plastic Surgeons** 

Bupa

Canberra Hand Centre

Consumer Health Forum of Australia

Department of Health

General Surgeons Australia

MIGA

NPS MedicineWise







	Painaustralia
	Skin Cancer College  V Voc. / Please indicate future dates here: TBC in 2020 (appual basis) with the
Are future Framework meetings planned?	X Yes / Please indicate future dates here: TBC in 2020 (annual basis) with the AEHA Steering Committee likely meet four times per year.
Describe the priorities and activities of the Framework	<ul> <li>The Australian Consensus Framework for Ethical Collaboration in the Healthcare Sector (ACF) describes the values and ethical principles that should form the basis of collaboration and interaction among organisations in the Australian healthcare sector, and aims to:         <ul> <li>Promote collaboration and interaction among healthcare sector organisations and those who work within them that benefits patients, consumers, students, educators, communities, populations, healthcare systems and the healthcare sector.</li> <li>Encourage better dialogue, trust and respect between and amongst organisations in and working with the healthcare sector.</li> <li>Enhance the integrity and trustworthiness of organisations in the healthcare sector.</li> <li>Promote public confidence and trust in healthcare sector organisations by demonstrating a shared commitment to integrity and ethics.</li> </ul> </li> <li>All signatories to the ACF have join a combined alliance: the Australian Ethical Health Alliance (AEHA). The ACF aims are supported by the AEHA Implementation Plan, where implementation activity has already commenced in many areas (detailed in the attachment).</li> </ul>
Indicate any learnings from the Framework to-date	Through the development of the Framework, the AEHA has learnt that each member organisation has a different reason for joining as a signatory and that the principles outlined in the ACF will be more or less salient to different organisations depending upon their membership, structure and remit.  While the ACF provides a good basis for promoting ethical collaboration, practical changes to an organisation's ethical practice and culture requires clear directions on how to implement the ethical principles. As such, the collective AEHA group have begun to develop a <i>Guide for Member Organisations</i> that provides suggestions as to how organisations might align their practices and policies with the ACF principles.  Many member organisations felt that implementation strategies would assist in delivering changes in ethical collaboration. It was stressed that the strategies could not be too prescriptive, but should provide practical advice and examples on how to enact the different principles. Through this document, member
	organisations are encouraged to implement as many strategies as possible, and to generate their own implementation strategies that could be shared amongst AEHA members.  The AEHA has also learnt that a useful approach to ensuring that the ACF principles are enacted is for all AEHA member organisations is through the development of an annual self-evaluation form. This self-evaluation form, included in the <i>Guide for Member Organisations</i> , encourages members to monitor their progress on implementing the ethical principles and will provide a tool for monitoring progress made against each of the ACF principles.





SANTIAGO DE CHILE | 9-10 SEPTEMBER



Please describe any advice for other economies as they pursue Framework adoption and implementation The Australian Ethical Health Alliance (AEHA) would recommend to other economies that each step in the development and implementation of a Framework be voluntary, consultative and collaborative. AEHA has seen adoption success through all activities being led by member-organisation decisions, with all member organisations being given equal opportunities to take part in decisions and projects.

AEHA would also recommend that each of the overarching principles be developed with an awareness of a potential broad-spectrum member group, so that a wide variety of member organisations will be able to adopt the principles. A pilot of ethical strategies could also be included to validate that the implementation strategies are relevant to all stakeholders.

The AEHA has also not set any requirements on becoming a Framework signatory and recommends that other economies adopt this approach. For AEHA, this has meant that a large range of stakeholders can join AEHA regardless of the stage they are at in their ethical journey. Including organisations with different levels of progress on implementing ethical practice has meant that learnings and experiences can be shared.

List of Parties who Reviewed / Provided Input to this Form

Adrian Cosenza – Chair ACF and on behalf of the AEHA leadership team Talysa Trevallion – AEHA Project Officer







Consensus Framework Name	Canadian Consensus Framework for Ethical Collaboration
Year Proposed	2015
Date Launched (Month/Year)	June 2016
Current Number of Parties	7
Stakeholder Participants (Check all that Apply)	<ul> <li>□ Medical Device Industry</li> <li>□ Third Parties / Distributors</li> <li>□ X Healthcare Professionals</li> <li>□ X Patient Organizations</li> <li>□ Hospitals / Healthcare Centers</li> <li>□ Additional Stakeholders Not Listed</li> </ul>
Complete List of Parties (Full Names of Each Organization)	Best Medicines Coalition (BMC) Canadian Medical Association (CMA) Canadian Nurses Association (CNA) Canadian Pharmacists Association (CPhA) Consumer Health Products Canada Health Charities Coalition of Canada (HCCC) Innovative Medicines Canada (IMC)
Have Framework meetings occurred since launch?	□ X Yes / Please indicate dates here: June 28, 2018, December 19, 2018  May 14, 2019, June 14, 2019
List Parties who attended the last two Framework meetings	Note: Our Consensus Framework typically convenes its members twice per year.  Best Medicines Coalition (BMC) Canadian Nurses Association (CNA) Canadian Medical Association (CMA) Consumer Health Products Canada (CPhA) Global Affairs Canada Health Charities Coalition of Canada (HCCC) Innovative Medicines Canada Public Health Agency of Canada
Are future Framework meetings planned?	□ Yes / Please indicate future dates here: □ X Yes / Date Not Scheduled - Fall 2019, Winter 2020 □ No
Describe the priorities and activities of the Framework	<ul> <li>Enhance credibility and encourage better dialogue, trust and respect between patient organizations, health care professionals, the pharmaceutical industry and governments.</li> <li>Create an environment for signatories of the framework to have authentic discussions about improving health care and patient outcomes.</li> </ul>
Indicate any learnings from the Framework to-date	<ul> <li>Members have often stated that while this is not a framework for regulation, it does serve as an important mechanism for initiating safe discussions on health care reform and public policy debates.</li> <li>Signatories to the framework utilize ongoing meetings to drive collaboration and learning through ongoing review of changes to the individual member codes of ethics, use of case studies and identification of issues in risk areas.</li> </ul>
Please describe any advice for other economies as they pursue Framework adoption and implementation	<ul> <li>Emphasis is on enabling a collaboration model vs. anti-corruption model.</li> <li>Value of co-development of initial or revised frameworks with patient organizations.</li> </ul>
List of Parties who Reviewed / Provided Input to this Form	IMC, CNA, BMC, HCCC







Consensus Framework Name	Chinese Consensus Framework for the Ethical Collaboration in the Pharmaceutical and Medical Device Sectors
Year Proposed	2017
Date Launched (Month/Year)	07/2018
Current Number of Parties	25
Stakeholder Participants (Check all that Apply)	V Medical Device Industry       V Biopharmaceutical Industry         V Third Parties / Distributors       □ Healthcare Professionals         □ Patient Organizations       □ Government Authorities         V Hospitals / Healthcare Centers       V Additional Stakeholders Not Listed
Complete List of Parties (Full Names of Each Organization)  Have Framework meetings	China Pharmaceutical Industry Association (CPIA) China Chamber of Commerce for Import & Export of Medicines & Health Products (CCCMHPIE) R&D-based Pharmaceutical Association Committee of China Association of Enterprises with Foreign Investment (RDPAC) China Association of Traditional Chinese Medicine (CATCM) China Pharmaceutical Innovation and Research Development Association (PhIRDA) China Association of Pharmaceutical Commerce (CAPC) China Nonprescription Medicines Association (CNMA) Chinese Hospital Association (CHA) China Pharmaceutical Enterprises Development Promote Association (CPEP) China Association for Medical Devices Industry (CAMDI) China Medicinal Biotech Association (CMBA) China National Pharmaceutical Packaging Association (CNPPA) China Pharmaceutical Association of Plant Engineering (CPAPE) China Biochemical Pharmaceutical Industry Association (CBPIA) Chinese Non-government Medical Institutions Association (CNMIA) PSM Foundation Beijing Pharmaceutical Profession Association (BPPA) Shanghai Pharmaceutical Profession Association (BPPA) Shandong Pharmaceutical Profession Association (SDPPA) Jiangsu Pharmaceutical Profession Association (JSPPA) Liaoning Pharmaceutical Profession Association (SPPA) Liaoning Pharmaceutical Profession Association (SPPA) Liaoning Pharmaceutical Profession Association (LNPPA) Fujian Pharmaceutical Profession Association (LNPPA) Fujian Pharmaceutical Industry Association (ZIPIA) V Yes / Please indicate dates here: 03/06/2019
occurred since launch?	□ No
List Parties who attended the last two Framework meetings	Ministry of Commerce IFPMA China Council for the Promotion of International Trade (CCPIT) China Pharmaceutical Industry Association (CPIA) China Chamber of Commerce for Import & Export of Medicines & Health Products (CCCMHPIE) R&D-based Pharmaceutical Association Committee of China Association of Enterprises with Foreign Investment (RDPAC) China Association of Traditional Chinese Medicine (CATCM) China Pharmaceutical Innovation and Research Development Association (PhIRDA)







	Chinese Hospital Association (CHA) China Nonprescription Medicines Association (CNMA) China Pharmaceutical Enterprises Development Promote Association (CPEP) China Association for Medical Devices Industry (CAMDI) China Medicinal Biotech Association (CMBA) China National Pharmaceutical Packaging Association (CNPPA) China Biochemical Pharmaceutical Industry Association (CBPIA) Chinese Non-government Medical Institutions Association (CNMIA) Beijing Pharmaceutical Profession Association (BPPA) Shanghai Pharmaceutical Profession Association (SPPA) Hebei Pharmaceutical Profession Association (HBPPA) Merck
	Luye Pharma Group Eli Lilly and Company Bayer Novo Nordisk Pfizer Takeda
	Betta Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. Qilu Pharmaceutical Fosun Pharma Innovent Bio  Yes / Please indicate future dates here:
Are future Framework meetings planned?	<ul> <li>✓ Yes / Please indicate future dates here:</li> <li>✓ Yes / Date Not Scheduled</li> <li>□ No</li> </ul>
Describe the priorities and activities of the Framework	Priorities: People-Oriented, Strengthened Collaboration, Respecting Differences, Accountability; Activities: Capacity Building & Training, Conduct Restriction, Timely Updates.
Indicate any learnings from the Framework to-date	It's important to advance further collaboration with multi-stakeholders.  It's necessary to identify a plan of action to advance code implementation and code harmonization together for China, including approaches for association training programs using a "train-the-trainer" approach.  There is mutual recognition that China will benefit from implementing ethical principles and foster a level playing field, and that strengthening ethical business practices across China's pharmaceutical sector is aligned with embracing a patient-centric approach.
Please describe any advice for other economies as they pursue Framework adoption and implementation	<ol> <li>Seek support from the government;</li> <li>Collaborate with multi-stakeholders from both their own economy and other economies;</li> <li>Strengthening awareness is the basis for pursuing the consensus framework.</li> </ol>
List of Parties who Reviewed / Provided Input to this Form	China Pharmaceutical Industry Association (CPIA) China Chamber of Commerce for Import & Export of Medicines & Health Products (CCCMHPIE)







Consensus Framework Name	Japan Consensus Framework for Ethical Collaboration
Year Proposed	2018
Date Launched (Month/Year)	July 2018
Current Number of Parties	8
Stakeholder Participants (Check all that Apply)	■ Medical Device Industry       Biopharmaceutical Industry         □ Third Parties / Distributors       Healthcare Professionals         ■ Patient Organizations       Government Authorities         □ Hospitals / Healthcare Centers       □ Additional Stakeholders Not Listed
Complete List of Parties (Full Names of Each Organization)	Japan Patients Association, Japan Federation of Cancer Patient Groups, Japanese Nursing Association, The Federation of Pharmaceutical Manufacturers' Associations of Japan, The Japan Federation of Medical Devices Associations, Japan Pharmaceutical Association, Japan Medical Association, Ministry of Health, Labour, and Welfare
Have Framework meetings occurred since launch?	Yes / Please indicate dates here: 20 July 2018, 9 November 2018  □ No
List Parties who attended the last two Framework meetings	<b>20 July 2018:</b> Japan Patients Association, The Federation of Pharmaceutical Manufacturers' Associations of Japan, The Japan Federation of Medical Devices Associations, Japan Medical Association
	<b>9 November 2018:</b> Japan Patients Association, The Federation of Pharmaceutical Manufacturers' Associations of Japan, The Japan Federation of Medical Devices Associations, Japan Pharmaceutical Association, Japan Medical Association
Are future Framework meetings planned?	Yes / Please indicate future dates here: 31 July 2019  Yes / Date Not Scheduled  No
Describe the priorities and activities of the Framework	Enhance awareness of the consensus framework.  Develop a common explanation tool.  Preparation for 2019 APEC meeting.
Indicate any learnings from the Framework to-date	Understand each stakeholders' own ethical codes, policies and rules.
Please describe any advice for other economies as they pursue Framework adoption and implementation	As "Put Patients First" is the most important principle in the framework, it is a must to include a patient group.
List of Parties who Reviewed / Provided Input to this Form	Japan Patients Association, Japan Federation of Cancer Patient Groups, Japanese Nursing Association, The Federation of Pharmaceutical Manufacturers' Associations of Japan, The Japan Federation of Medical Devices Associations, Japan Pharmaceutical Association, Japan Medical Association







Consensus Framework Name	Compromiso por la Transparencia (Mexico Transparency Agreement)
Year Proposed	2007
Date Launched (Month/Year)	October 2007
Current Number of Parties	13
Stakeholder Participants (Check all that Apply)	X Medical Device Industry  Third Parties / Distributors  Patient Organizations  X Hospitals / Healthcare Centers  X Biopharmaceutical Industry  X Healthcare Professionals  X Government Authorities  X Additional Stakeholders Not Listed
Complete List of Parties (Full Names of Each Organization)	Academia Nacional de Medicina de México Cámara Nacional de la Industria Farmacéutica en México Comisión Nacional de Bioética Consejo de Ética y Transparencia de la Industria Farmacéutica Academia Mexicana de Cirugía Academia Mexicana de Pediatría Colegio Médico de México Asociación Nacional de Hospitales Privados Facultad de Medicina, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México Escuela Superior de Medicina, Instituto Politécnico Nacional Instituto Mexicano del Seguro Social Instituto de Seguridad y Servicios Sociales de los Trabajadores del Estado Secretaría de Salud
Have Framework meetings occurred since launch?	X Yes / Please indicate dates here: At least twice per year
List Parties who attended the last two Framework meetings	Academia Nacional de Medicina de México Cámara Nacional de la Industria Farmacéutica en México Comisión Nacional de Bioética Consejo de Ética y Transparencia de la Industria Farmacéutica (CETIFARMA) Academia Mexicana de Cirugía Academia Mexicana de Pediatría Colegio Médico de México Asociación Nacional de Hospitales Privados Facultad de Medicina, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México Escuela Superior de Medicina, Instituto Politécnico Nacional Instituto Mexicano del Seguro Social Instituto de Seguridad y Servicios Sociales de los Trabajadores del Estado Secretaría de Salud
Are future Framework meetings planned?	X Yes / Date Not Scheduled
Describe the priorities and activities of the Framework	- This year (2019) we are working with Authorities (General Council of Health) to update the Consensus Framework, including the invitation to Patients Organizations; and the link to the National Anticorruption System.
Indicate any learnings from the Framework to-date	<ul> <li>It is crucial to the correct functioning of any Consensus Framework to establish a follow up mechanism to solve breaches.</li> <li>The participation of Authorities leading the Consensus helped to solve (in some level) the non-member dilemma (in the case of Mexico).</li> </ul>
Please describe any advice for other economies as they pursue Framework adoption	- Take into account a follow up mechanism, a communication strategy and accountability since the beginning.







and implementation	
List of Parties who Reviewed / Provided Input to this Form	CETIFARMA







Consensus Framework Name	Peruvian Consensus Framework for Multistakeholder Ethical Collaboration in the Pharmaceutical and Medical Device Sectors
Year Proposed	2016
Date Launched (Month/Year)	September/2016
Current Number of Parties	22
Stakeholder Participants (Check all that Apply)	x Medical Device Industry x Biopharmaceutical Industry  □ Third Parties / Distributors x Healthcare Professionals  x Patient Organizations x Government Authorities  □ Hospitals / Healthcare Centers x Additional Stakeholders Not Listed
Complete List of Parties (Full Names of Each Organization)	1 Dirección General de Medicamentos, Insumos y Drogas- DIGEMID 2Superintendencia Nacional de Salud- SUSALUD 3 Centro Nacional de Abastecimiento de Recursos Estratégicos en Salud-CENARES 4Comision de Alto Nivel Anticorrupción- Presidencia del Consejo de Ministros 5Sociedad de Comercio Exterior del Perú- COMEX Perú 6Asociacion de Industrias Farmacéuticas Nacionales- ADIFAN 7Confederacion Nacional de Instituciones Empresariales Privadas- CONFIEP 8Comité de Productos para la Salud- COMSALUD –Cámara de Comercio de Lima 9 Asociación Nacional de Laboratorios Farmacéuticos- ALAFARPE 10Asociacion de Laboratorios Farmacéuticos Latinoamericanos- ALAFAL 11Asocacion Nacional de Cadenas de Boticas -ANACAB 12Colegio Médico del Perú 13Colegio Químico Farmacéutico del Perú 14Asociacion de Clínicas Particulares del Perú 15ESPERANTRA 16Asociacion PROSA 17Asociacion Benéfica PRISMA 18 Servicio de Medicinas PROVIDA 19Red Peruana de Pacientes y Usuarios 20Sociedad Peruana de Farmacia Hospitalaria 21Accion Internacional para la Salud- AIS 22MeTA Peru
Have Framework meetings occurred since launch?	x Yes / Please indicate dates here: 2017: March 14, May 17, July 12, 2018: July 12, August 24, September 27, November 28 2019: March 4, May 2, June 6, July 11
List Parties who attended the last two Framework meetings	<ol> <li>1 Dirección General de Medicamentos, Insumos y Drogas- DIGEMID</li> <li>2Superintendencia Nacional de Salud- SUSALUD</li> <li>3 Centro Nacional de Abastecimiento de Recursos Estratégicos en Salud-CENARES</li> <li>4Comision de Alto Nivel Anticorrupción- Presidencia del Consejo de Ministros</li> <li>5Sociedad de Comercio Exterior del Perú- COMEX Perú</li> <li>6Comité de Productos para la Salud- COMSALUD –Cámara de Comercio de Lima</li> <li>7 Asociación Nacional de Laboratorios Farmacéuticos- ALAFARPE</li> <li>8Asociacion de Laboratorios Farmacéuticos Latinoamericanos- ALAFAL</li> <li>9Asocacion Nacional de Cadenas de Boticas -ANACAB</li> <li>10Colegio Médico del Perú</li> <li>11Colegio Químico Farmacéutico del Perú</li> <li>12Asociacion de Clínicas Particulares del Perú</li> <li>13ESPERANTRA</li> </ol>







	14Asociacion Benéfica PRISMA
	15 Servicio de Medicinas PROVIDA
Are future Framework	xYes / Please indicate future dates here: 2019: August 1, September 5, October 3, November 7 (the dates could change and should be confirmed before)
meetings planned?	November 7 ( the dates could change and should be committed before)
Describe the priorities and	The priorities are as follows:
activities of the Framework	Designate mechanisms to strengthen trust and facilitate open communication
	between the parties.
	To promote the development and convergence of the Codes of Ethics of the
	parties in accordance with the agreed framework and current legal system.
	To develop training programs shared between the Parties
	To promote collaboration between the Parties to facilitate the timely
	identification of challenges and take advantage of solution opportunities.
	About the activities, each two months face-to-face meetings have been held with
	the aim of maintaining fluid communication with the signatories, strengthening
	trust and open communication among all, listening to their progress and carrying
	out a self-assessment format of the codes of ethics of each of the Parties. The
	Self-Assessment format have been proposed in order to have the baseline data
	that allow us to build towards a convergence of the codes of ethics according to
	the agreed framework and the current legal system.  1. The work in consensus requires meeting points and agreement of actors: Since
Indicate any learnings from	the Parties have different lines of work, there are opportunities for improvement
the Framework to-date	to carry out activities that involve all the signatories.
	2. The institutional commitment promotes participation in action: To promote
	the participation of all signatories through the respective follow-up of each of the
	signatory's developments, despite the rotation of officials and those responsible
	for the issue of all sectors.
	3. The sum of efforts of committed actors allows the achievement of a common
	agenda: To establish an agenda including the progress of each of the signatories
	individually in order to share experiences and lessons learned with the other
	signatories  1. To promote and make efforts to maintain periodic and sustained
Please describe any advice for	communication between the Parties, in order to maintain the momentum, the
other economies as they	essence and dynamism of the document.
pursue Framework adoption	2. To facilitate the participation of the Parties in the coordination meetings,
and implementation	taking into account the ideas and proposals of each one as well as submitting
	them to contributions from other participants.
	3. To disseminate in the meetings the training activities on the ethical practices
	that each of the Parties has been working on.
	4. The government participation as a facilitator in coordination with all
	participants.
	5. The confluence of actors and points of view enriches the proposal in favor of
	the initiative.  6. The use technological and digital tools from each part to measure the
	application and progress.
List of Parties who Pavious d	1 Dirección General de Medicamentos, Insumos y Drogas- DIGEMID
List of Parties who Reviewed / Provided Input to this Form	2Superintendencia Nacional de Salud- SUSALUD
Provided input to this Porth	3Sociedad de Comercio Exterior del Perú- COMEX Perú
	4Asociacion de Industrias Farmacéuticas Nacionales- ADIFAN
	5 Asociación Nacional de Laboratorios Farmacéuticos- ALAFARPE
	6Asocacion Nacional de Cadenas de Boticas -ANACAB







- 7.-Colegio Médico del Perú
- 8.-Colegio Químico Farmacéutico del Perú
- 9.-Asociacion de Clínicas Particulares del Perú
- 10.-ESPERANTRA
- 11.-Asociacion Benéfica PRISMA
- 12.- Servicio de Medicinas PROVIDA









Consensus Framework Name	Philippines Consensus Framework for Ethical Collaboration		
Year Proposed	2018		
Date Launched (Month/Year)	November 2018		
Current Number of Parties	17 (plus others that committed but have not signed)		
Stakeholder Participants (Check all that Apply)	X Medical Device Industry  □ Third Parties / Distributors  X Patient Organizations  X Hospitals / Healthcare Centers  X Biopharmaceutical Industry  X Healthcare Professionals  C Government Authorities  X Additional Stakeholders Not Listed		
Complete List of Parties (Full Names of Each Organization)	<ol> <li>Philippine Alliance of Patient Organizations (PAPO)</li> <li>Philippine Medical Association (PMA)</li> <li>Philippine Pharmacists Association (PPhA)</li> <li>Philippine Nurses Association (PNA)</li> <li>Pharmaceutical and Healthcare Association of the Philippines (PHAP)</li> <li>Association of Nursing Service Administrators of the Philippines (for ceremonial signing)</li> <li>Institute for Solidarity in Asia (ISA)</li> <li>Philippine Association of Medical Device Regulatory Affairs Professionals (PAMDRAP)</li> <li>Medicines Transparency Alliance (MeTA)</li> <li>Region 1 Medical Center (R1MC)</li> <li>Mariano Marcos Memorial Hospital and Medical Center</li> <li>Navotas City Hospital</li> <li>Southern Philippines Medical Center (SPMC)</li> <li>Ilocos Training and Regional Medical Center (ITRMC)</li> <li>Philippine Hospitals Association (for ceremonial signing)</li> <li>Dr. Paulino J. Garcia Memorial Research &amp; Medical Center (for ceremonial signing)</li> </ol>		
Have Framework meetings occurred since launch?	X Yes / Please indicate dates here: 27 November 2018 / 23 August 2019   No		
List Parties who attended the last two Framework meetings	Philippine Alliance of Patient Organizations (PAPO) Philippine Medical Association Philippine Nurses Association Pharmaceutical and Healthcare Association of the Philippines (PHAP) Philippine Pharmacists Association Philippine College of Physicians (PCP) Philippine Association of Medical Device Regulatory Affairs Professionals (PAMDRAP) Medicines Transparency Alliance (MeTA Philippines) Philippine Orthopaedic Association, Inc. Unilab (Local pharmaceutical company)		
Are future Framework meetings planned?	X Yes / Please indicate future dates here: September / October 2019		
Describe the priorities and activities of the Framework	Priorities: Strategic Planning Session  1) Gather endorsers / signatories through presentations in various fora and one-on-one meetings  2) Include government and organizations from various parts of the country as signatories / endorsers		









	3)	Localize the global framework	
	4)	Build up information and education resources to build awareness	
	5)	Craft a communication plan including development of spokespersons	
	6)	Develop a seal/ logo	
Indicate any learnings from the Framework to-date	1)	The endorsements of major organizations such as the Philippine Medical	
		Association, Philippine Alliance of Patient Organizations and Philippine	
		Nurses Association pave the way for other organizations to join in the	
		campaign	
	2)	The leadership of APEC Business Ethics also provides more credibility	
		into the advocacy	
	3)	It is crucial to have a core group which will give overall directions and	
		seek awareness opportunities for the framework collaboration	
	4)	In raising and sustaining awareness, it is desirable to have signatories/	
		endorsers from various parts of the country	
Please describe any advice for other economies as they pursue Framework adoption and implementation	1)	Prioritize counterpart umbrella organizations of the Global Consensus	
		Framework (local IAPO, WMA, IFPMA, ICN). Their endorsements will	
		open doors for other organizations	
	2)	Cite leadership of APEC Business Ethics when speaking with potential	
		signatories	
	3)	The global consensus framework could be the initial anchor for the	
		campaign	
List of Parties who Reviewed /	Mr. Teodoro B. Padilla		
Provided Input to this Form	Executiv	ve Director / PHAP	
'			







Consensus Framework Name	Vietnam Consensus Framework for Ethical Collaboration in Pharmaceutical and Medical Device Sectors		
Year Proposed	2017		
Date Launched (Month/Year)	September 2017		
Current Number of Parties	9		
Stakeholder Participants (Check all that Apply)	<ul> <li>✓ Medical Device Industry</li> <li>□ Third Parties / Distributors</li> <li>✓ Patient Organizations</li> <li>□ Hospitals / Healthcare Centers</li> <li>✓ Additional Stakeholders Not Listed</li> </ul>		
Complete List of Parties (Full Names of Each Organization)	Vietnam Medical Association Vietnam Women Union Vietnamese Pharmaceutical Association Vietnam Pharmaceutical Companies Association Vietnam Medical Equipment Association Healthcare Committee, American Chamber of Commerce in Vietnam Pharma Group, EuroCham International Quality Medicines - Generic & Biosimilar (former International Quality Generics Sector Committee), EuroCham Medical Devices and Diagnostics, EuroCham		
Have Framework meetings occurred since launch?	<ul><li>□ Yes / Please indicate dates here:</li><li>☑ No</li></ul>		
Are future Framework meetings planned?	<ul> <li>✓ Yes / Please indicate future dates here: Quarter 3, 2019</li> <li>□ Yes / Date Not Scheduled</li> <li>□ No</li> </ul>		
Describe the priorities and activities of the Framework	<ul> <li>Pharmaceutical and Medical Device Industry associations – foreign and local – to adopt industry self-regulated Codes of Ethics with the same high ethical standards, in line with the APEC Mexico City Principles, and share Codes of Ethics with other partners in healthcare;</li> <li>Organize dialogues with all stakeholders in healthcare, including the medical community, to promote high ethical standards.</li> </ul>		
Indicate any learnings from the Framework to-date	<ul> <li>The Framework has created a platform for industry associations to discuss revision of Codes of Ethics, towards having the same standards across different Codes.</li> </ul>		
Please describe any advice for other economies as they pursue Framework adoption and implementation	<ul> <li>Having Government representatives witness the signing &amp; adoption of the Framework will help towards implementation</li> <li>Having meetings scheduled as soon as the Framework is signed</li> <li>All signatories to nominate key contact points and take turn (yearly) to coordinate the activities under the Framework</li> </ul>		
List of Parties who Reviewed / Provided Input to this Form	Pharma Group, EuroCham IQMED Generic & Biosimilar, EuroCham MDD SC, EuroCham		